

GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 202 463-5200

May 14, 1999

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



Ms. Magalie R. Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Ex Parte:

Universal Service – CC Docket No. 96-45/and Forward-Looking Mechanism

for Non-Rural LECs - CC Docket No. 97-160

Dear Ms. Salas,

On May 13, 1999, GTE submitted an *ex parte* letter responding to questions raised in a meeting with the staff of the Accounting Policy Division. In response to question No. 6, an attachment labeled "What's in GTE Florida's Common Costs" was inadvertently omitted. A copy of that attachment is provided with this letter along with the original response.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, and original and one copy of this letter are being submitted to the Office of the Secretary. Please associate this notification with the record in the proceeding indicated above.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please call me at (202) 463-5293.

Sincerely,

W. Scott Randolph

**Director - Regulatory Matters** 

I. he dans

CC:

Craig Brown

Steve Burnett Paula-Ann Cech Brian Clopton

Katie King

Dick Kwiatkowski

Bob Loube Richard Smith No. of Copies rec'd OA2 List A B C D E

A part of GTE Corporation

## Questions and Responses from FCC Ex Parte on March 1, 1999:

1. Does GTE have wire center boundaries that could be used to compare/validate BLR boundaries used by FCC model?

### Response:

Maps comparing wire center boundaries to BLR boundaries will be provided as soon as practical.

2. GTE can calculate its duct feet/route feet ratio through the use of ARMIS 43-08, IA, columns w,x. Does GTE have a similar method or any method of calculating a buried or underground cable sheath feet to route feet ratio?

## Response:

GTE is unable to provide route miles or route feet of cable or to determine a ratio.

3. What impact would there be on switching expense to investment ratio if software is capitalized?

## Response:

Effective January 1, 1999, consistent with Statement of Position (SOP) 98-1, GTE has modified the accounting for Right-to-Use fees and software costs. Prior to SOP 98-1, GTE recorded RTU and software costs consistent with the requirements of FCC Part 32 and GAAP. These rules required that GTE capitalize initial software costs of the operating systems of Central Office Equipment (COE) and that it be depreciated at the same rate as the switch. COE operating system software is defined as the instruction that controls the management and execution of programs. Operating system software costs incurred subsequent to the initial installation of the equipment and Application system software were expensed. Application system software is defined as the instruction that performs the execution of a specific task.

The changes set forth in SOP 98-1 requires the identification of *initial* and *subsequent* operating and application network software separately from switch hardware costs. It will be capitalized if the amount is greater than \$2,000. The separation of costs between hardware and software will be maintained because of the significant differences in lives of the software and the hardware. This allows for accelerated amortization of software cost versus hardware cost. Although this change may lead to a decrease in operating software expense, there will be a corresponding increase in software amortization expense.

Site License Agreements or right-to-use fees follow the same rules as software that is purchased.

Software upgrades are classified as operating system or application software. The determination of the expenditure as a capital cost or operating expense is dependent upon the added functionality that the upgrade provides. In order for a software upgrade to be capitalized, the modification must provide significant new features, additional revenue streams or future cost savings. Modifications that merely extend the life of the software will be expensed. To the extent that upgrades resulting in added functionality cannot be reasonably separated from maintenance and relatively minor upgrades, the entire amount will be expensed.

Although, this accounting change would result in a decrease in operating expense related to software costs in the immediate period, GTE projects that within 3 years there will be a return to an equivalent level of operating expense due to the increase in software amortization expense. Thus, the impact of the change in accounting for software cost from SOP 98-1 will have virtually no impact on the overall forward looking level of operating expense.

## 4. How does our geocode data compare to PNR?

### Response:

GTE is currently in the process of extracting information from internal sources that contain geo-coded customer information. The purpose of this effort is to develop a source of reliable, credible, geo-coded demand data from internal sources for use as an input to GTE's own cost models as well as any external costing models GTE may be required to use. Much of this information currently resides with multiple sources within GTE. This requires that GTE must develop methods and processes to extract and compile that information into a format that is compatible with GTE's own integrated cost model (ICM) and any mandated external cost models.

Although much progress has been made in the development, understanding, and retrieval of this information, it is not yet ready for review by external sources nor is it ready for production level usage.

GTE does not know how it compares to alternative sources of geo-coded information that has been made available for purchase in the open market place from PNR. To fully understand how the information GTE is developing compares to alternative sources would require as equally comprehensive an understanding of the development of alternative data. GTE is in the process of negotiating the opportunity to review PNR's data and evaluate its relative reliability and credibility.

5. How does Oregon treat proprietary data? For instance, is there a publicly available requirement (re: proposition that HCPM be used)?

# Response:

According to an Oregon Commission staff member, there is no requirement that data be available for public review.

6. On "percent Local TSLRIC" backup sheet, what is in the \$378M common cost in Florida? (Bob Loube)

# Response:

See attached sheet "What's in GTE Florida's Common Costs".

# What's in GTE Florida's Common Costs

2112 2114 2115 2116 2121 2122 2123 2123 2124	Gategory General Support Costs Motor Venicle Special Furpose Vehicle Garage Work Equipment Other Work Equipment Building + Land Furniture Office Support Equipment Company Communications Equipment	Costs \$K \$10,201 \$0 \$322 \$7,932 \$41,681 \$1,797 \$2,113	Notes  Capital Costs: Depreciation Return, and taxes associated with the indicated capital account.  (Non Central Office Only)
2112 2114 2115 2116 2121 2122 2123 2123 2124	Motor Venicle Special Purpose Vehicle Garage Work Equipment Other Work Equipment Building + Land Furniture Office Support Equipment Campany Communications Equipment	\$0 \$322 \$7,932 \$41,681 \$1,797	Return, and taxes associated with the indicated capital account.
2114 2115 2116 2121 2122 2123 2123 2124	Special Purpose Vehicle Garage Work Equipment Other Work Equipment Building + Land Furniture Office Support Equipment Campany Communications Equipment	\$0 \$322 \$7,932 \$41,681 \$1,797	with the indicated capital account.
2115 2116 2121 2122 2123 2123 2124	Garage Work Equipment Other Work Equipment Building + Land Furniture Office Support Equipment Campany Communications Equipment	\$322 \$7,932 \$41,681 \$1,797	account.
21 16 21 21 21 22 21 23 21 23 21 24	Other Work Equipment  Building + Land  Furniture  Office Support Equipment  Campany Communications Equipment	\$7,932 \$41,681 \$1,797	
2121 2122 2123 2123 2124	Building + Land Furniture Office Support Equipment Campany Communications Equipment	\$41,681 \$1,797	(Non Central Office Only)
2122 2123 2123 2124 2124	Furniture Office Support Equipment Campany Communications Equipment	\$1,797	(Non Central Office Only)
2123 2123 2124 2124	Office Support Equipment Campany Communications Equipment	• •	
2123 2124 1XX	Company Communications Equipment	\$2,113	1
2123 2124 1XX	Company Communications Equipment	7-11.7-	l
2124 HXX	1	\$11,835	
IXX	General Purpose Computers	\$22,625	
	TOTAL 21XX	\$98,486	
611X	Plant Specific Operations		
	Network Support Expenses		
	Motor Vehicle Expense	\$1,486	
	Garage Work Equipment Expense	\$55	
6115		\$0	
	General Support Expenses Furniture	<b>60.0</b> 40	
	Office Equipment	\$2,248 \$4,734	
6124		•	  81% of Asseunt (19% not Comm
	TOTAL 61XX	\$54,938	
5XX	Plant Non-Specific Operations		
	Provisioning Expense	\$2,365	
	Network Operations Expenses		
6532		\$18,814	
6533 6534		\$22,283	
653 <b>5</b>		\$19,602 \$8,910	
	TOTAL 66XX	\$71,974	
	Corporate Operations		
	Executive and Planning		
6711	Executive	\$4,880	
6712		\$3,045	1
6721	General and Administrative		
		\$14,152	
	External Relations Human Resources	\$8,367 <sup>1</sup> \$11,000	İ
	Information Management		87% of Account (13% not Comm
6725	Legal	\$2,125	a a movement from not comm
6726		\$1,536	
6727		\$4,515	
6728		\$47,647	
	TOTAL 67XX	\$153,138	
OTAL CO	MMON COSTS	\$378,536	' K